

United States Patent and Trademark Office

لدك

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,849	09/614,849 07/12/2000		Kiyotaka Iwata	U 012852-3 2520	
140	7590	03/29/2002			
LADAS &			EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61 NEW YORK			WILSON, NEILL R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3679	
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	μ
7	
4	\dashv
	\dashv
ONTHS	
d timely.	
٠.	
on.	
on	
	l
	ŀ
	1
	1
	ŀ
	ı
)-152	
120 /)
uco	
	1

••					i
Office Action Summary	09/	Application No.	Applicant(s)	tAKA IL	vAtA
	<i>/</i> .	Examiner /	50N	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears	on the cover shee	et beneath the c	correspondence a	ddress
P riod for Response			7		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONDED TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	ONSE IS SE	T TO EXPIRE	OMONT	TH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than this lif NO period for response is specified above, such period Failure to respond within the set or extended period for responded to the set of extended to the set of	rty (30) days, a I shall, by defau	response within the sta lt, expire SIX (6) MONT	ututory minimum of FHS from the mailin	thirty (30) days will be ig date of this commur	considered timely.
Status	1/				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/8/	02	•		•
☐ This action is FINAL.	<i>y.</i>	•		. :	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disp sition of Claims					
X Claim(s)			j\$/are	pending in the app	lication.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				_ j ≰/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)			is/are	allowed.	
X Claim(s) /7-22			is/are	rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			is/are	objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)				bject to restriction ement.	or election
Application Papers	•		roquii	cincin.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	ent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	•	to by the Examine	r.		
					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	Adminion.				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All Some* None of the CERTIFIED received.					
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
☐ received in this national stage application from	•		T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:				·	
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449	9, Paper No(s	s)	☐ Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Infor	mal Patent Applicat	ion, PTO-152 A		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	w, PTO-948	ý	Other 1/01/2	ce of Irr	adiated
	Office A	cti n Summary	Corne	spondence	

. Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not directed to the invention that is now claimed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Art Unit:

5. Claims 17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landt and Gutshall.

Landt and Gutshall both disclose a self locking bolt structure that includes a head and a threaded shank wherein the head includes locking projections provided on the undersurface or bearing surface of the head. In both references the projections are placed at regular intervals around the head.

6. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McMurray and Barth.

McMurray and Barth also disclose bolt structures with locking projections provided on the undersurface of the bolt head. In both of these references the projections are provided in a peripheral region of the head, rather than extending to the bolt shank (as disclosed in the above applied references to Landt and Gutshall).

7. Claims 18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigmund.

Sigmund discloses a self locking bolt structure that includes a head and a threaded shank wherein the head includes locking recesses provided on the undersurface of the head as claimed. The recesses are placed at regular intervals around the head and extend to the shank of the bolt.

8. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tabor.

Application/Control Number: 09/614,849 Page 4

Art Unit:

Tabor discloses a self locking bolt with locking recesses provided on the undersurface of the bolt head as claimed. In Tabor the recesses are provided in the peripheral region of the head, rather than extending to the shank of the bolt.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Melone, Knohl and Albris disclose related self locking bolt structures and are cited to further show the state of the art.
- The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

on	
	(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Art Unit:

NRW

March 20, 2002

Neill Wilson Primary Examiner

Attachment to Paper No. 10

Notice Regarding Treatment of Irradiated Correspondence

The following papers have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process:

Mailroom Stamp Date 1/8/02	Certificate of Mailing Date
•	

The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (i.e., a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do not call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will not be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within THREE MONTHS of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (i.e., the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.